

IMCSM Proceedings

ISSN 2620-0597

Volume XXI, Issue (1), (2025)

An international serial publication for theory and
practice of Management Science



Editor-in-Chief: Prof. dr Đorđe Nikolić

**Published by University of Belgrade, Technical Faculty in Bor,
Department of Engineering Management**

Bor, 2025

Scientific Board (SB) of the Conference:

Dorđe Nikolić, University of Belgrade, Technical Faculty in Bor, **president of the SB.**

Marija Panić, University of Belgrade, Technical Faculty in Bor, **vice-president of the SB.**

Members of SB:

Berto Usman, University of Bengkulu, Indonesia;

Daniel Pavlov, “Angel Kanchev” University of Ruse, Bulgaria;

Darko Stefanović, University of Novi Sad, Serbia;

Isidora Milošević, University of Belgrade, Serbia.

Ivan Mihajlović, University of Belgrade, Serbia;

Jacques Bazen, Saxion University of Applied Sciences, Germany;

Jovan Filipović, University in Belgrade, Serbia;

Ladislav Mura, University of Economics in Bratislava, Slovakia;

Loo-See Beh, IMU University, School of Business and Technology, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia;

Luminita Parv, Transilvania University of Brasov, Romania;

Magdalena Radulescu, National University of Science and Technology Politehnica Bucharest, Romania.

Marek Szarucki, Krakow University of Economics, Poland;

Mario Carrassi, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Italy;

Michael Huth, Fulda University of Applied Sciences, Germany;

Milovan Vuković, University of Belgrade, Serbia;

Musin Halis, Kocaeli University, Turkey;

Pal Michelberger, Obuda University, Hungary;

Petar Mitić, Institute of Economic Science, Serbia;

Petra Grošelj, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia;

Rita Remeikiene, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania;

Simon Gao, Edinburg Napier University, United Kingdom;

Slobodan Aćimović, University of Belgrade, Serbia;

Slobodan Radosavljević, RB Kolubara, Serbia;

Snežana Urošević, University of Belgrade, Serbia;

Tomasz Ingram, University of Economics in Katowice, Poland;

Vasilika Kume, Tirana Business University College, Albania;

Vesna Spasojević Brkić, University of Belgrade, Serbia;

Željko Stević, University of East Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina.

Reviewers:

Berto Usman, University of Bengkulu, Indonesia;
Daniel Pavlov, “Angel Kanchev” University of Ruse, Bulgaria;
Darko Stefanović, University of Novi Sad, Serbia;
Isidora Milošević, University of Belgrade, Serbia.
Ivan Mihajlović, University of Belgrade, Serbia;
Jacques Bazen, Saxion University of Applied Sciences, Germany;
Jovan Filipović, University in Belgrade, Serbia;
Ladislav Mura, University of Economics in Bratislava, Slovakia;
Loo-See Beh, IMU University, School of Business and Technology, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia;
Luminita Parv, Transilvania University of Brasov, Romania;
Magdalena Radulescu, National University of Science and Technology Politehnica Bucharest, Romania.
Marek Szarucki, Krakow University of Economics, Poland;
Mario Carrassi, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Italy;
Michael Huth, Fulda University of Applied Sciences, Germany;
Milovan Vuković, University of Belgrade, Serbia;
Musin Halis, Kocaeli University, Turkey;
Pal Michelberger, Obuda University, Hungary;
Petar Mitić, Institute of Economic Science, Serbia;
Petra Grošelj, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia;
Rita Remeikiene, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania;
Simon Gao, Edinburg Napier University, United Kingdom;
Slobodan Aćimović, University of Belgrade, Serbia;
Slobodan Radosavljević, RB Kolubara, Serbia;
Snežana Urošević, University of Belgrade, Serbia;
Tomasz Ingram, University of Economics in Katowice, Poland;
Vasilika Kume, Tirana Business University College, Albania;
Vesna Spasojević Brkić, University of Belgrade, Serbia;
Željko Stević, University of East Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina.

Organizing Board of the Conference:

Aleksandra Fedajev, president of the Organizational Committee,
Danijela Voza, vice president of the Organizational Committee,
Milica Veličković, member,
Marija Panić, member,
Sanela Arsić, member,
Milena Gajić, member,
Adrijana Jevtić Tomić, member,
Aleksandra Radić, member,
Sandra Vasković, member.

Technical Editor: Milica Veličković, Technical Faculty in Bor

Technical Co-Editors: Adrijana Jevtić Tomić, Technical Faculty in Bor
Aleksandra Radić, Technical Faculty in Bor



This work is available under Creative Commons
Attribution - Non-commercial-NoDerivs Licence
(CC BY - NC - ND)

CIP - Каталогизacija у публикацији
Народна библиотека Србије, Београд

005.51(082)(0.034.2)
338.1:001.895(082)(0.034.2)
330.34(100)(082)(0.034.2)

INTERNATIONAL May Conference on Strategic Management (21 ; 2025 ; Bor)

[XXI] International May Conference on Strategic Management – IMCSM25, May 2025, Bor [Elektronski izvor] / [editor-in-chief Đorđe Nikolić]. - Bor : University of Belgrade, Technical Faculty, Management Department, 2025 (Niš : Grafika Galeb). - 1 USB fleš memorija ; 9 x 6 cm : tekst, slika. - (Edition IMCSM Proceedings ; vol. 21, issue (1) (2025), ISSN 2620-0597)

Sistemska zahteva: Nisu navedeni. - Nasl. sa naslovne strane dokumenta. - Tiraž 100. - Bibliografija uz svaki rad.

ISBN 978-86-6305-160-7

а) Стратешки менаџмент -- Зборници б) Привреда -- Иновације -- Зборници в) Економски развој -- Међународни аспект -- Зборници

COBISS.SR-ID 169576201

Gold Donor



塞尔维亚紫金矿业
SERBIA ZIJIN MINING

Gold Donor



ZiJin
SERBIA ZIJIN COPPER DOO BOR

CONTENT

MANAGEMENT OF LAST-MILE DELIVERY THROUGH THE SELECTION OF LOCATIONS FOR PARCEL LOCKERS Željko Stević, Eldina Huskanović	1
E-COMMERCE IN THE DIGITAL AGE: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM SERBIA Sanela Arsić	12
INNOVATION MODELING TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT Nataša Pejić	30
APPLICATION OF INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN CENTRAL EUROPEAN COUNTRY Kamil Turčan, Ivana Butoracová Šindleryová	38
THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BURNOUT AND MENTAL WELL-BEING OF OLDER PEOPLE Rita Remeikienė, Rusnė Katerina Jančaitytė, Beatričė Rūškienė	47
VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF DOMESTIC ABUSE IN BALTIC STATES AND ITS IMPACT ON LABOUR MARKET Ligita Gaspareniene, Ilona Michailovič, Rita Remeikienė	61
ALIGNING DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION WITH STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT IN LOGISTICS Diana Daskevici, Aurelija Burinskiene	72
RANKING OF THE MOST IMPORTANT GROUPS OF CRITERIA FOR THE SELECTION OF THE UNDERGROUND MINING METHOD FOR COAL DEPOSITS Mohsen Jamshidi, Dejan Bogdanović, Hesam Dehghani	86
THE BUREAUCRATIC GRIP OF EUROPEAN UNIVERSITY ALLIANCES Edita Lenkauskaite, Caio Cesar Souza	94
THE IMPACT OF FINTECH INNOVATION AND BANKING EFFICIENCY ON CUSTOMER LOYALTY: INVESTIGATING THE MEDIATING ROLE OF CUSTOMER TRUST Sadiya Firdose R Z, Laxmana Rao Goranta	106
LANGUAGE LEARNING MOTIVATION AS A REFLECTION OF PERSONAL POTENTIAL: THE ROLE OF EU ATTITUDES, ACTIVE CITIZENSHIP, EMPLOYABILITY, AND INFORMEDNESS Tamara Verežan, Zrinka Fišer, Nataša Papić-Blagojević, Ivana Jošanov-Vrgović	119
SUSTAINABILITY PROBLEM SOLVING IN THE MINING INDUSTRY: RISK ASSESSMENT APPROACH Snežana Sando	129

STRATEGIC STRUCTURING OF HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT THROUGH THE INTEGRATION OF INNOVATIONS	
Miloš Dimitrijević, Miloš Nikolić	142
INTEGRATED PLANNING OF LOGISTICS PROCESSES IN THE FIELD OF WAREHOUSING WITH SIMULATION SUPPORT	
Miriam Pekarčikova, Peter Trebuna, Jana Kronova, Marek Kliment	152
RELATION OF QMS VISION AND STRATEGY AND BALANCED SCORECARDS ACHIEVEMENTS OF HEIs IN INDUSTRY 5.0 ENVIRONMENT	
Maja Glogovac	162
INDUSTRY 5.0 IN THE NEW GLOBAL CIRCUMSTANCES OF MINING WITH RISK MAPPING	
Slobodan Radosavljević	173
ENERGY TRANSITION, ENERGY STRATEGIES, GLOBAL ENERGY SECURITY AND POTENTIAL RISKS	
Slobodan Radosavljević	183
INNOVATIVE APPROACHE TO FOREST MANAGEMENT IN THE CONTEXT OF THE SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS	
Ljiljana Brašanac-Bosanac, Aleksandar Valjarević, Uroš Durlević, Milorad Šolević, Tatjana Ćirković-Mitrović	193
WHOLE-BODY VIBRATION MEASUREMENT AT THE MINING MACHINERY OPERATOR'S WORKPLACE IN SMES	
Vesna Spasojević Brkić, Mirjana Misita, Ivan Mihajlović, Katarina Dimić Misić, Martina Perišić, Nemanja Janev, Aleksandar Brkić	205
HAPS BETWEEN KESSLER SYNDROME, BENEFITS FOR HUMANITY, AND TECHNOLOGICAL CHALLENGES	
Damir Ilić, Vladimir Tomašević, Tatjana Ilić-Kosanović	216
INTEGRATING ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT INTO SUPPLY CHAIN STRATEGIES	
Stefan Ugrinov , Dragan Čočkalović, Mihalj Bakator, Mila Kavalić, Verica Gluvakov	227
THE ROLE OF DIGITIZATION IN SHAPING THE WORKING FUTURE OF OLDER EMPLOYEES IN SERBIA	
Andelka Stojanović, Milica Veličković, Sanela Arsić	237
A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON STUDENT INTENTIONS IN BULGARIA, ROMANIA AND SERBIA TO START FAMILY BUSINESSES	
Daniel Pavlov, Silvia Puiu, Milica Veličković	247
A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF MCDM METHODS BASED ON PAIRWISE COMPARISON: AHP, BWM AND FUCOM	
Petra Grošelj, Gregor Dolinar	256
THE ROLE OF MANAGERS IN OPTIMIZING BUSINESS PROCESSES IN THE IT INDUSTRY	
Zorana Janković, Snežana Urošević	267

TRENDS AND DETERMINANTS OF STUDENTS' ENTREPRENEURSHIP INTEREST AND CAREER ASPIRATIONS ABROAD: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ALBANIA AND BULGARIA

Fatma Jaupi, Anisa Kume, Daniel Pavlov 275

HIGH-ALTITUDE PLATFORM SYSTEMS: PERSPECTIVES ON CURRENT AND FUTURE TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS

Damir Ilić, Vladimir Tomašević, Tatjana Ilić-Kosanović 289

THE USE OF SMART CONTRACTS TO PRESERVE THE INTEGRITY OF COLD SUPPLY CHAINS

Dimitrije Soleša, Radovan Vladislavljević, Svetlana Marković 297

COSMETIC BRANDS PERCEPTION BY GENERATION Z THROUGH THE PRISM OF CONSCIOUS CONSUMPTION

Marina Sheresheva, Daria Golub, Daniel Pavlov 307

REFRAMING PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL THROUGH EMPLOYEE PERCEPTIONS: INSIGHTS FROM THE ALBANIAN BANKING SECTOR

Sllavka Kurti, Katerina Vasili, Vasilika Kume 315

STRENGTHENING STRATEGIC AND FINANCIAL STABILITY IN SCIENTIFIC INSTITUTES WITH IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIC RISK MANAGEMENT

Marija Jonović, Lidija Barjaktarović 326

CREATING CUSTOMER VALUE IN PRIVATE MEDICAL CLINICS BASED ON AN OMNICHANNEL INTERACTION SYSTEM

Marina Sheresheva, Ekaterina Yudina1, Daniel Pavlov 336

IDENTIFICATION OF FACTORS FOR ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION OF HEIs PERFORMANCE

Nedeljka Živković, Maja Glogovac, Isidora Milošević 344

SustainAbility: WHEN CAPITAL LETTER MATTERS

Mario Carrassi, Anna Argese, Teresa D'Andrea, Tomasz Ingram 353

EVALUATING TRANSATLANTIC CRUISE SERVICE QUALITY: AN INTEGRATED SERVQUAL AND IPA APPROACH

Predrag Đorđević, Anđelka Stojanović, Ivica Nikolić 365

APPLYING DIFFERENTIATION IN BUSINESS ENGLISH EFL CLASSROOMS: A STRATEGIC APPROACH TO ENHANCING LANGUAGE ACQUISITION AND PROFESSIONAL READINESS

Slavica Stevanović, Sandra Vasković 376

DIGITALIZATION THROUGH E-INVOICES IN MICRO AND SMALL ENTERPRISES – CROATIAN EXPERIENCES

Ivana Miklošević 383

ANALYSIS OF INNOVATION IN BRICS COUNTRIES

Ivica Nikolić, Lidija Krstić, Anđelka Stojanović, Denis Stojkanović 393

A COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT LEADERSHIP APPROACHES IN THE HOTELS INDUSTRY AND THEIR IMPACT ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE	
Jovan Momirski	403
CONFLICT MANAGEMENT STYLES IN PROJECT-BASED ORGANISATIONS: RELATION TO DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS	
Aleksandra Radić , Nenad Milijić	411
DYNAMIC TRAFFIC LANES STRATEGY MANAGEMENT IN SMART CITY	
Amit Vujic, Vladimir Tomasevic, Vladica Ristic, Marija Maksin	420
HOW NEWCOMERS INFLUENCE GROUP MICROCLIMATE?	
Rita Remeikienė, Beatričė Rūškienė,	430
EXAMINING USE OF UNCONDITIONAL CASH TRANSFERS TO ENCOURAGE ENTREPRENEURSHIP EMANCIPATION: A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE AND RESEARCH AGENDA	
Kenneth Jerry Onyango, Nathaniel Boso, Hellen Otieno	437
ASPECTS OF LEGAL REGULATION OF CO₂ EMISSIONS INTO THE ATMOSPHERE: A EUROPEAN UNION PERSPECTIVE	
Evaldas Raistenskis, Ligita Gasparėnienė, Rita Remeikienė	447
COMMUNICATION SATISFACTION AS A PREDICTOR OF ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT	
Danijela Voza, Zorana Janković, Milovan Vuković	455
ENCRYPTION AND INFORMATION	
Halima Onalla Ali, Sakina Almahzoum, Dušan Regodic, Velimir Dedić, Maja Andjelkovic	465
AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS ON THE BATTLEFIELD: THE MILITARY POTENTIAL AND RISKS OF SELF-DRIVING VEHICLES	
Péter Szikora	476
ANALYSIS OF TRAINING NEEDS OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF SENIOR CIVIL SERVANTS IN THE REPUBLIC OF SERBIA	
Jelena Ruso, Ana Rakić, Sara Dimitrijević, Isidora Milošević	488
PRICE AND VOLUME DYNAMICS: A CORRELATION ANALYSIS OF MAJOR OIL COMPANIES	
Adrijana Jevtić Tomić, Dejan Riznić	499
ENVIRONMENTAL ASPECTS IN THE CASE-LAW OF THE COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION UNDER ARTICLE 260(2) TFEU	
Deimilė Prapiestytė	514
DO KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT AND GREEN INNOVATION CONTRIBUTE TO THE GREEN TRANSITION IN SERBIAN ENTERPRISES?	
Sanela Golubović Corcione, Milica Veličković, Aleksandra Fedajev, Jelena Ružić	521

WOMEN'S RURAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP – AN INTEGRATED APPROACH TO THE GENDER DIMENSION	
Marina Nedeljković	534
THE IMPACT OF ECONOMIC VARIABLES ON ATTRACTING FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT IN LIBYA: AN ANALYTICAL STUDY OF THE PERIODS "1997–2010, 2011–2017, AND 2018–2024"	
Amel Kamel Abdulmalik	542
THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION JUDGMENT OF 30 APRIL 2024 IN CASE C-670/22 (“ENCROCHAT”) FOR THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS	
Remigijus Merkevičius	551
OVERTOURISM AND SUSTAINABLE TOURISM	
Lachi Cristina	562
EFFECTIVE ELIMINATION OF NETWORK FAILURES IN BROADCAST SYSTEM	
Jovan Zlatanovic, Ivana Milosevic, Mirko Milosevic	575
THE IMPACT OF THE CIRCULAR ECONOMY ON AN INDIVIDUAL’S QUALITY OF LIFE: A EUROPEAN UNION PERSPECTIVE	
Ligita Gasparėnienė, Rita Remeikienė	585
MADE IN ALBANIA FOR THE WORLD: A STUDY OF EXPORT STRATEGIES AND MARKET CHALLENGES	
Ariola Harizi, Denada Liça, Silvana Gashi	594
THE MODERATING EFFECT OF STAKEHOLDERS’ INFLUENCE ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SUCCESSION MANAGEMENT AND HUMAN RESOURCE OUTCOMES IN PRIVATE UNIVERSITIES, KENYA	
Hilderlith Amimo-Ogut, Fred Walumbwa, Vincent Ogutu, Simon Ndiritu	601
SOCIAL RESOURCE ORCHESTRATION AND GROWTH OF SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW	
Loise Oburu, Joseph Onyango, Ann Ndirangu	611
EMPOWERING CONSUMERS IN THE CIRCULAR ECONOMY: STRATEGIES FOR SUSTAINABLE AGRI-FOOD SYSTEMS IN THE REPUBLIC OF NORTH MACEDONIA	
Katerina Bojkovska	621
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NEW INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT (ERP SYSTEM) AT ŽRS A.D. DOBOJ	
Siniša Urumović, Nikola Simić	633
BRIDGING THE ESG AWARENESS GAP: CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR SMEs IN NORTH MACEDONIA	
Vladimir Petkovski, Irina Piperkova, Elizabeta Djambaska, Aleksandra Lozanoska	639
DIGITAL READINESS LEVEL (DLR) AND TECHNOLOGY ORGANIZATION ENVIRONMENT (TOE) METHODOLOGY IMPLEMENTATION ON SME-S INDUSTRY 4.0 IMPLEMENTATION	
Aleksandra Kovačević, Aleksandar Jankulović	649

BLENDED, BRIEF, BUT IMPACTFUL? EVALUATING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF ERASMUS+ BLENDED INTENSIVE PROGRAMS	
Jacob Cornelis Bazen, Florin Sebastian Duma	660
IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN PROJECT-ORIENTED ORGANIZATIONS: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF SERBIA AND THE REGION	
Jelena Ružić, Sanela Golubović Corcione, Ivan Jovanović	674
DESIGN THINKING AS A CREATIVE APPROACH TO SUSTAINABILITY	
Mario Carrassi, Anna Argeșe, Teresa D’Andrea, Tomasz Ingram	685
OPTIMIZATION OF SALES CHANNELS THROUGH AI-DRIVEN CRM: SMART DATA ANALYSIS FOR BETTER RETAIL MANAGEMENT	
Ankica Borota-Tišma, Draga Tišma	700
THE CONDITIONAL NATURE OF GOSSIP: EXPLORING PSYCHOLOGICAL AND RELATIONAL PATHWAYS WITH FSQCA	
Katarzyna Mielowska, Tomasz Ingram, Mario Carrassi	710
ESG REPORTING IMPLICATIONS FOR ROAD CARRIERS' FINANCIAL OUTCOMES	
Desislava Yosifova, Magdalena Petrova-Kirova	722
INTELLIGENT TRANSPORT SYSTEMS AS A TOOL FOR SPEEDING PREVENTION	
Veselin Grozdanov	728
ECOLOGICAL DEBT RISK – HAVE WE ALREADY SPENT OUR RESOURCES FOR 2025?	
Marija Panić	734
THE PRINCIPLE OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN POLISH TAX SYSTEM	
Patryk Kowalski	744
DETERMINANTS OF CO₂ EMISSIONS IN CENTRAL AND EASTERN EUROPE: AN EMPIRICAL PANEL DATA ANALYSIS	
Aleksandra Fedajev, Petar Mitić, Aleksandar Zdravković, Gabrijela Popović	755
DESIGNING THE SKANDIA NAVIGATOR TO MANAGE INTANGIBLE CAPITAL: WHAT RELEVANCE FOR MOROCCAN INDUSTRIAL COMPANIES?	
Issam Bahnini, Sanae Benjeloun	770
THE IMPACT OF PROCESS AUTOMATION ON MANAGEMENT CONTROL AND THE PERFORMANCE OF TOURISM COMPANIES: A BIBLIOMETRIC ANALYSIS	
Sissah Safae, Hmioui Aziz	779



CONFLICT MANAGEMENT STYLES IN PROJECT-BASED ORGANISATIONS: RELATION TO DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS

Aleksandra Radić*, Nenad Milijić

University of Belgrade, Technical faculty in Bor, Serbia

Abstract: During the project realization, disagreements arise and cannot be avoided. Project complexity, various participants, stakeholders' interests, task requirements, and tight project deadlines create an environment for conflict issues. Every individual engaged in the project responds to conflict differently and has a distinct conflict management strategy. Therefore, this research aims to get deeper insights into conflict management styles' differences in specific demographic characteristics. Empirical research was conducted to obtain this study's objective. A total sample of 114 employees from project-based organizations operating in the Republic of Serbia was collected. The findings of the ANOVA test revealed that participants' responses differed in terms of age, work experience, and project type. Findings provide valuable insights by examining differences in conflict management styles and identifying behavioral patterns and variations based on demographic factors.

Keywords: Conflict management styles, projects, ANOVA.

1. INTRODUCTION

Caused of uncertainty, the high degree of people diversity, different goals and motivations, conflicts have been considered an inevitable part of organizational life (İslamoğlu et al., 2008). Conflicts in the organization can be explained as interactive processes between social entities often followed by disagreements and dissonance (Afzalur Rahim, 2002).

In project-based organizations, that operate to satisfy specific customer requirements with high quality, on time, and within the budget, conflicts cannot be omitted (Prieto-Remón et al., 2015). Especially, if the project is realized in undetermined conditions, with low communication and feedback levels, poor contract management, and opposed motivations between project stakeholders, conflicts will arise (Khosravi et al., 2020). Tabassi et al. (2017) stated that conflict is not always considered unfavourable, but incredibly beneficial for problem-solving and generating new ideas.

Examination of conflicts in project management is a common research topic. Although studies emphasized the importance of different conflict management styles in the context of projects, less attention has been paid to the comparison of conflict styles between different roles

* Corresponding author: aradic@tfbor.bg.ac.rs

Aleksandra Radić, ORCID: 0000-0003-4253-8004
Nenad Milijić, ORCID: 0000-0001-8741-3892

within the project team, such as project managers and team members, as well as the influence of specific project-based organization characteristics on the conflict style.

This points to the need for a deeper understanding of how context and role in a team shape conflict management styles, which is the basis for further research within this paper. In light of increasing interest in management of conflicts in projects, it is important to explore the influence of demographic factors. Therefore the paper objective is to get deeper insights about how conflict management styles differs in relation to demographic specificities.

The paper is organized as follows. Literature Review focuses attention on conflict management theory and conflict management styles. Research Methodology describes methodology used for achieving study objectives. Results and Discussion present the main results and association with previous literature findings. Conclusion deals with summarization, study implications, limitations and future research directions.

2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Performances of project-based organizations often rely on project teams and project managers' expertise, tendency to competitiveness, and intergroup cohesive relations. Nevertheless, managing conflicts is also one of the key skills needed to finish the project successfully.

Many theories offer different theoretical models to define the individual's behavior in conflict and detect his/her conflict-handling approach. One of the most popular is the two-dimensional model proposed by Blake and Mouton in 1964. This model is also known as The Dual Concern Model and includes two dimensions - concern for self (low and high) and concern for others (low and high) (Rahim & Magner, 1995; De Dreu et al., 2001).

The dimension "concern for self" refers to the extent to which an individual tries to satisfy personal needs or beliefs. Similarly, the dimension "concern for others" refers to respecting the needs of others. In combination, these two dimensions indicate five different styles of managing interpersonal conflict (Figure 1).

The Avoiding style, also known as the ignorance style, is related to low concern for self and low concern for others, too. This style can be described by withdrawal behavior, no confrontation with others (Shabani et al., 2022), and a tendency to minimize addressing the conflict, either ignoring it (Montes et al., 2012). Although this style can be seen as the most unsuitable for an organizational environment, sometimes it is a wise choice when conflict by itself is unimportant and can be set aside (Wilmot & Hocker, 2017).

The Dominating style involves high concern for self and low concern for others. This style is also ineffective and inappropriate for social relationships, but it has been found useful for goal-related organizations such as project-based organizations (Gross & Guerrero, 2000). An individual with this dominant style might use authority strategies and social pressure to accomplish a project goal.

Compromising style refers to a moderate concern for self and others. This conflict management approach suggests that both parties should "give something" to "take something" (Shabani et al., 2022). This style tends to an intermediate position and splits the differences between conflict parties (Gross & Guerrero, 2000).

Individuals who use the Obliging style have a greater concern for others than for themselves. They usually appreciate other's needs more than their own interests. Obliging is associated with tendency to please the other side, passively accepting the others beliefs (Rahim & Magner, 1995). In disagreements of two sides, where one is on the higher hierarchy, obliging can be reasonable style for managing the conflict (Wilmot & Hocker, 2017).

The Integrating style is related to high concern for self and high concern for others. It is one of the most appropriate styles that focus on problem-solving collaboratively by searching for new and creative solutions to satisfy the needs of all parties (Gross & Guerrero, 2000). Nevertheless, it is a high-energy style and sometimes can be seen as manipulative (Wilmot & Hocker, 2017).




Figure 1. The Dual Concern Model (Rahim & Magner, 1995)

The study conducted by Mohammed et al. (2008) identified significant connections between Hofstede's cultural dimensions and the tendency of project managers to adopt a certain style of conflict management. For instance, the study showed that avoidance of uncertainty is correlated with a project manager's tendency to use an Avoiding style. On the other hand, Prieto-Remón et al. (2015) research study showed that project managers adopt Dominating and Compromising styles in most cases. Kowszyk et al. (2023) explored five conflict management styles within the mining sector by conducting interviews with managers from four mines in Latin America and examining differences between preferred styles.

Wang & Liu (2021) assessed cooperative conflict management styles as a mediator among political skills and relationship quality. The research confirmed a significant and positively associated relationship with the domination of integrating and compromising styles as the most frequently applied styles in construction projects.

Zhang et al. (2015) investigated the relationship between emotional intelligence, conflict management styles, and innovation performance in the construction industry. Integrating style is the most preferred one, followed by compromising and dominating styles. Tabassi et al. (2019) evaluated the impact of team leaders' conflict management styles on team performance, with the mediating role of team coordination in the construction industry. This study highlighted that team leaders most frequently choose avoidance and cooperative approaches to manage conflicts.

Wu et al. (2018) analyzed the relationship between contractual flexibility and project success of megaprojects and found that contractual flexibility was positively associated with project success. When adding conflict types as a mediator, the relationship was significantly weakened.

As above mentioned studies have demonstrated that Rahim and Magner's (1995) concept can be applied from psychology to project management, this study aims to examine different conflict management styles in project.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employed sampling and survey techniques. To identify the conflict management styles among project managers and members of project teams, an anonymous survey was completed in 2023. Participants were informed that their answers would be used for preparing scientific research. Paper questionnaires were distributed directly to organizations, while an online version was sent through the LinkedIn network. In both cases, the survey employed a five-point Likert scale to assess respondents' agreement with the statements provided in the questionnaire, where 1 represents the least agreement and 5 indicates the greatest agreement.

Participants in the face-to-face survey were asked to indicate the extent of their agreement with various statements by circling a number on a five-point Likert scale. For the online survey, a questionnaire was created using Google Forms.

The questionnaire items are adapted from existing literature on conflict management styles (Rahim & Magner, 1995; Wilmot & Hocker, 2017), and consists of two parts. The first part includes demographic questions such as gender, age, project position, educational level, years of work experience, company size, and type of project. The second part of the questionnaire comprises 25 questions, corresponding to the five conflict management styles.

The reliability of the measuring instrument was determined by evaluating the internal consistency coefficients of the questionnaire through the Cronbach alpha test. According to the results, for all five groups of questions, regarding five conflict management styles, Cronbach's alpha coefficients exceed the minimum recommended value of 0.70 (Nunnally & Bernstein, 1994).

Furthermore, to explore the influence of demographic factors on preferred conflict management styles, an Analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was utilized. ANOVA was performed in the IBM SPSS v. 25 software package.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Out of a total of 128 respondents in the research, 69 preferred a Compromise style, 45 preferred an Integrative style, and the rest 14 chose an Avoidance, Dominating, or Obliging style. Due to the pronounced unevenness in the distribution of preferred styles of conflict management among respondents, which can affect the validity of statistical processing and group comparisons, the analysis was restricted to styles with a sufficient number of cases, namely Compromising and Integrating which is 114.

The study involved more females than males, the most of them are 26-45 year old. Also, study involved more team members than project managers. The most of the respondents have high school degree and previous work experience of 6-10 years, work in small and medium project-based organizations mostly related to industrial projects. For more details, see Table 1.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the research sample (N = 114)

Variables	Category	N	Percentage %
Gender	Male	45	39,5
	Female	69	60,5
Age	Less than 25 years	7	6,1
	26 – 35 years	43	37,7
	36 – 45 years	19	34,2
	46 – 55 years	18	15,8
	Above 56 years	7	6,1
Project position	Project manager	27	23,7
	Team member	87	76,3

Table 1. (continued)

Variables	Category	N	Percentage %
Education	Elementary school	5	4,4
	High school	65	57,0
	Higher education	14	12,3
	University	30	26,3
Work experience	Less than 5 years	26	22,8
	6 – 10 years	41	36,0
	11 – 20 years	25	21,9
	21 – 30 years	17	14,9
	Above 31 years	5	4,4
Company size	Less than 10 employees	1	0,9
	11 – 50 employees	16	14,0
	51 – 250 employees	92	80,7
	Above 251 employees	5	4,4
Project type	Construction projects	14	12,3
	Industrial projects	87	76,3
	IT projects	6	5,3
	Event organizing projects	7	6,1

Table 2 presents the mean values of participant responses on compromising and integrating questions regarding demographic variables and its categories.

Table 2. Compromising and Integrating styles mean values

Variables	Category	Compromising (mean value)	Integrating (mean value)
Gender	Male	4.07	3.80
	Female	4.22	4.08
Age	Less than 25 years	4.26	4.09
	26 – 35 years	4.39	4.09
	36 – 45 years	4.05	3.99
	46 – 55 years	3.77	3.69
	Above 56 years	4.31	3.63
Project position	Manager	4.10	4.14
	Team member	4.18	3.91
Education	Elementary school	3.88	3.92
	High school	4.11	3.89
	Higher education	4.36	4.03
	University	4.22	4.12
Work experience	Less than 5 years	4.54	4.35
	6 – 10 years	4.18	3.83
	11 – 20 years	4.04	4.08
	21 – 30 years	3.91	3.76
	Above 31 years	3.52	3.24
Company size	Less than 10 employees	3.80	4.60
	11 – 50 employees	4.09	4.01
	51 – 250 employees	4.17	3.91
	Above 251 employees	4.32	4.68
Project type	Construction projects	3.54	3.03
	Industrial projects	4.29	4.08
	IT projects	4.25	4.75
	Event organizing projects	3.66	3.86

ANOVA testing was conducted to examine how demographic factors influence preferred conflict management styles. The results are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. ANOVA test results

Demographic characteristics	Conflict management style	Significance		Remark
		F	p	
Gender	Compromising	1.055	0.307	n.s.
	Integrating	2.996	0.086	n.s.
Age	Compromising	2.609	0.039	**
	Integrating	1.074	0.373	n.s.
Project position	Compromising	0.203	0.653	n.s.
	Integrating	1.535	0.218	n.s.
Education	Compromising	0.680	0.566	n.s.
	Integrating	0.559	0.643	n.s.
Work experience	Compromising	3.422	0.011	**
	Integrating	3.132	0.018	**
Company size	Compromising	0.199	0.897	n.s.
	Integrating	1.564	0.202	n.s.
Project type	Compromising	4.345	0.003	*
	Integrating	7.087	0.000	*

Notes: n.s. non-significance; * statistically significant at 0.01 level; ** statistically significant at 0.05 level.

According to the results (Table 3), participants' responses were found not to be different regarding gender. Previous literature (Havenga, 2006) states that it was found that women are more integrated than men. However, results presented in Table 2 indicate that mean values of integrating style are higher for women (4.08) than men (3.80), but this difference is not statistically significant.

Participants' responses were found different regarding age in the case of compromising style. The integrating style means value somehow declines with age, but this is not the case with compromising style. To detect the main difference in compromising style regarding age, an additional ANOVA post-hoc test was performed. Results showed that between 26-35 and 46-55-year-old participants exists a statistically significant difference (mean difference = 0.619; Sig. = 0.028).

Furthermore, participants' responses were found not to be different regarding project position. However, previous findings concerning the relationship between conflict styles and hierarchical levels show that employees on higher levels prefer dominating and compromising styles the most, while employees on lower levels usually prefer avoiding styles (Brewer et al., 2002). Additionally, Slabbert (2004) examined traditional organizations and found that managers utilize low cooperation styles, while on the other hand, their subordinates prefer high cooperation styles. However, this study examines employees of project-based organizations that are particularly different from traditional hierarchy and rigid organizational structure (Miterev et al., 2017).

The difference in education levels was not found to be statistically significant based on the ANOVA test. Mean values for both considered conflict styles increase with the respondents' level of education. This finding aligns with the research conducted by İslamoğlu et al. (2008), which indicates that employees with higher education levels (BSc degree and above) tend to use an integrating style more than high school graduates.

Additionally, the results of this study indicate that the mean values for both conflict styles decline as employees gain more work experience. These results are contrary to the findings of Drory and Ritov (1997) which emphasized that integrating and compromising styles are chosen more often by experienced employees than by inexperienced ones. Differences observed in this study are statistically significant for both styles. Post-hoc test results revealed significant differences between participants with less than 5 years of work experience and those

with 21-30 years of experience (mean difference = -0.633; Sig. = 0.048), as well as between participants with less than 5 years of experience and those with over 31 years (mean difference = -1.018; Sig. = 0.039). This can be explained by the statement that integrating style involves a high level of cooperation, the search for win-win solutions, which can seem idealistic, requires a lot of energy, and by the fact that experienced employees are more likely to take a position of authority.

The participant's responses were found not to be different regarding company size. These findings can be supported by statements that conflict management styles, including Integrating and Compromising, depend more on personal skills, values, and attitudes than on organizational factors (Rahim & Magner, 1995). Additionally, participants' responses were found to be different regarding project type and this difference is statistically significant for both styles. When analyzing the Compromising style, post-hoc test results indicated significant differences between participants engaged in construction projects and those in industrial projects (mean difference = - 0.745; Sig. = 0.004).

Furthermore, when analyzing Integrating style, post-hoc test results show significant differences between participants engaged in construction projects and those in industrial projects (mean difference = - 1.051; Sig. = 0.000), and between participants engaged in construction projects and those in IT projects (mean difference = -1.721; Sig. = 0.001).

Construction projects typically require a more authoritarian hierarchy and careful monitoring of deadlines and budgets, leaving little space for compromise (Lawani et al., 2024). In contrast, industrial projects can be managed more collaboratively, with greater interdependence among sectors such as production, engineering, and logistics. On the other hand, since IT projects utilize the agile concept (Crawford et al., 2014), employees involved in these projects have a greater need for integrative solutions and flexibility.

5. CONCLUSION

It is important to have comprehensive knowledge about conflict styles to manage and solve interpersonal conflicts. Furthermore, it is valuable to recognize their main relation to demographic factors. Therefore, this study employed an ANOVA test to analyze the influence of demographic characteristics on conflict styles.

The study results provide certain implications. Although no statistically significant difference was found in conflict style preferences according to gender, position in the project, education, and company size, certain tendencies were observed. For instance, females are more inclined to an Integrating style, while a higher level of education means a more pronounced use of both Integrative and Compromising styles.

On the other hand, factors like age, work experience, and project type showed a statistically significant impact. As younger and less experienced employees prefer cooperative styles, this may indicate changes in attitudes and energy needed to resolve conflicts as employees gain experience and assume more responsible positions. Additionally, employees on construction projects prefer less cooperative styles, while integrative and compromising styles are more often applied in IT and industrial projects. These findings indicate that the project context and organizational structure may shape conflict resolution.

Besides theoretical and practical implications, this study has some limitations. The observed sample size is not sufficient and reliable for generalizing the results. In this study, the influence of demographic characteristics is analyzed for only two of five conflict management styles. To overcome this limitation, future research should be directed to a comprehensive analysis of all conflict management styles based on a larger sample.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The research presented in this paper was carried out with the financial support of the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia within the framework of financing scientific research work at the University of Belgrade, Technical Faculty in Bor, in accordance with the contract with registration number 451-03-137/2025-03/ 200131.

REFERENCES

- Afzalur Rahim, M. (2002). Toward a theory of managing organizational conflict. *International journal of conflict management*, 13(3), 206-235. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb022874>
- Brewer, N., Mitchell, P., & Weber, N. (2002). Gender role, organizational status, and conflict management styles. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 13(1), 78-94. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb022868>
- Crawford, B., Soto, R., de la Barra, C. L., Crawford, K., & Olgún, E. (2014). Agile software teams can use conflict to create a better products. In *HCI International 2014-Posters' Extended Abstracts: International Conference, HCI International 2014, Heraklion, Crete, Greece, June 22-27, 2014. Proceedings, Part I 16* (pp. 24-29). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-07857-1_5
- Davaei, M., Gunkel, M., Veglio, V., & Taras, V. (2022). The influence of cultural intelligence and emotional intelligence on conflict occurrence and performance in global virtual teams. *Journal of International Management*, 28(4), 100969. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intman.2022.100969>
- De Dreu, C. K., Evers, A., Beersma, B., Kluwer, E. S., & Nauta, A. (2001). A theory-based measure of conflict management strategies in the workplace. *Journal of Organizational Behavior: The International Journal of Industrial, Occupational and Organizational Psychology and Behavior*, 22(6), 645-668. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.107>
- Drory, A., & Ritov, I. (1997). Effects of work experience and opponent's power on conflict management styles. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 8(2), 148-161. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb022794>
- Gross, M. A., & Guerrero, L. K. (2000). Managing conflict appropriately and effectively: An application of the competence model to Rahim's organizational conflict styles. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 11(3), 200-226. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb022840>
- Havenga, W. (2008). Gender and age differences in conflict management within small businesses. *SA Journal of human resource management*, 6(1), 22-28. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v6i1.143>
- İslamoğlu, G., Börü, D., & Birsal, M. (2008). Conflict management styles in relation to demographics. *Boğaziçi Journal*, 22(1-2), 107-140. <http://dx.doi.org/10.21773/boun.22.1.7>
- Khosravi, P., Rezvani, A., & Ashkanasy, N. M. (2020). Emotional intelligence: A preventive strategy to manage destructive influence of conflict in large scale projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 38(1), 36-46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiproman.2019.11.001>
- Kowszyk, Y., Vanclay, F., & Maher, R. (2023). Conflict management in the extractive industries: A comparison of four mining projects in Latin America. *The Extractive Industries and Society*, 13, 101161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exis.2022.101161>

- Lawani, K., Arias Abad, L. A., Craig, N., Hare, B., & Cameron, I. (2024). Exploring emotional intelligence and conflict management styles in Dominican Republic construction industry. *Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology*, 22(1), 89-119. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEDT-09-2021-0485>
- Miterev, M., Mancini, M., & Turner, R. (2017). Towards a design for the project-based organization. *International Journal of Project Management*, 35(3), 479-491. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.12.007>
- Mohammed, U. K., Prabhakar, G. P., & White, G. (2008). Culture and conflict management style of international project managers. *International Journal of Business Management*, 3(5), 3-11. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v3n5p3>
- Montes, C., Rodríguez, D., & Serrano, G. (2012). Affective choice of conflict management styles. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 23(1), 6-18. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10444061211199304>
- Nunnally, J. C., & Bernstein, I. H. (1994). Psychometric theory 3rd ed., New York.
- Prieto-Remón, T. C., Cobo-Benita, J. R., Ortiz-Marcos, I., & Uruburu, A. (2015). Conflict resolution to project performance. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 194, 155-164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.06.129>
- Rahim, M. A., & Magner, N. R. (1995). Confirmatory factor analysis of the styles of handling interpersonal conflict: First-order factor model and its invariance across groups. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 80 (1), 122–132. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0021-9010.80.1.122>
- Shabani, G., Behluli, A., & Qerimi, F. (2022). The impact of conflict management styles on organizational performance: A comparative analysis. *Emerging Science Journal*, 6(4), 758-775. <http://dx.doi.org/10.28991/ESJ-2022-06-04-07>
- Slabbert, A. D. (2004). Conflict management styles in traditional organisations. *The Social Science Journal*, 41(1), 83-92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soscij.2003.10.007>
- Tabassi, A. A., Abdullah, A., & Bryde, D. J. (2019). Conflict management, team coordination, and performance within multicultural temporary projects: Evidence from the construction industry. *Project Management Journal*, 50(1), 101-114. <https://doi.org/10.1177/8756972818818257>
- Tabassi, A. A., Bryde, D. J., Abdullah, A., & Argyropoulou, M. (2017). Conflict management style of team leaders in multi-cultural work environment in the construction industry. *Procedia Computer Science*, 121(2), 41-46. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2017.11.007>
- Wang, D., & Liu, Y. (2021). The effect of political skill on relationship quality in construction projects: The mediating effect of cooperative conflict management styles. *Project Management Journal*, 52(6), 563-576. <https://doi.org/10.1177/87569728211037503>
- Wilmot, W., & Hocker, J. L. (2017). Interpersonal conflict, 10th edition. McGraw-Hill Education. <https://doi.org/10.1177/87569728211037503>
- Wu, G., Zhao, X., Zuo, J., & Zillante, G. (2018). Effects of contractual flexibility on conflict and project success in megaprojects. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 29(2), 253-278. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCMA-06-2017-0051>
- Zhang, S. J., Chen, Y. Q., & Sun, H. (2015). Emotional intelligence, conflict management styles, and innovation performance: An empirical study of Chinese employees. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 26(4), 450-478. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCMA-06-2014-0039>