

IMCSM Proceedings

ISSN 2620-0597

Volume XX, Issue (1), (2024)

An international serial publication for theory and
practice of Management Science



Editor-in-Chief: Prof. dr Marija Panić

**Published by University of Belgrade, Technical Faculty in Bor,
Department of Engineering Management**

Bor, May 2024

Scientific Board (SB) of the Conference:

Živan Živković, University of Belgrade, Technical faculty in Bor, **honorary president of SC.**

Marija Panić, University of Belgrade, Technical Faculty in Bor, **president of the SB.**

Predrag Đorđević, University of Belgrade, Technical Faculty in Bor, **vice-president of the SB.**

Aćimović, S., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Economics, Belgrade, Serbia;

Bazen, J., Saxion University of Applied Sciences in Enschede, The Netherlands;

Beh, L.S., University of Malaya, Faculty of Economics and Administration, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia;

Carrassi, M., University of Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy;

Chelishvili, A., Business and Technology University, Tbilisi, Georgia;

Duysters, G., Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands;

Filipović, J., University in Belgrade, Faculty of Organizational Science, Belgrade, Serbia;

Gao, S., Edinburgh Napier University, Edinburgh, United Kingdom;

Grošelj, P., University of Ljubljana, Biotechnical faculty, Ljubljana, Slovenia;

Gupte, J., Goa Institute of Management, Poriem, Sattari, Goa, India;

Halis, M., Bolu Abant İzzet Baysal University, Faculty of Communications, Bolu, Turkey;

Huth, M., Fulda University of Applied Sciences, Fulda, Germany;

Kangas, Y., University of Eastern Finland, Joensuu, Kuopio, Eastern Finland, Finland;

Kume, V., University of Tirana, Faculty of Economics, Albania;

Michelberger, P., Obuda University, Budapest, Hungary;

Mitić, P., Institute of Economic Science, Belgrade, Serbia,

Mumford, M. D., University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma, USA;

Mura, L., University of Ss. Cyril and Methodius, Trnava, Slovakia;

Nikolić, Đ., University of Belgrade, Technical Faculty in Bor, Serbia;

Nordal, A., Municipal Undertaking for Educational Buildings and Property, Oslo, Norway;

Pang, J., Shanxi University, School of Computer and Information Technology, Taiyuan, Shanxi, China;

Parnell, J. A., University of North Carolina Pembroke, School of Business, Pembroke, USA;

Pavlov, D., “Angel Kanchev” University of Ruse, Bulgaria;

Prasad, R., Banaras Hindu University, Institute of Management Studies, India;

Radosavljević, S., Kolubara coal basin, Lazarevac, Serbia;

Radulescu, M., University of Pitesti, Faculty of Economics, Pitesti, Romania;

Remeikiene, R., Mykolas Romeris University, Vilnius, Lithuania;

Spasojević Brkić, V., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Belgrade, Serbia;

Stefanović, D., University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technical Sciences, Novi Sad, Serbia;

Stević, Ž., University of East Sarajevo, Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering, Doboj, Bosnia and Herzegovina;

Szarucki, M., Cracow University of Economics, Cracow, Poland;

Ingram, T., University of Economics in Katowice, Katowice, Poland;

Usman, B., University of Bengkulu, Faculty of Economics and Business, Bengkulu, Indonesia;

Virglerová, Z., Tomas Bata University in Zlín, Center for Applied Economic Research, Zlín, Czech Republic;

Zwikaël, O., The Australian National University, Research School of Management, Canberra, Australia;

Organizing Board of the Conference:

Fedajev, A., president of the Organizational Board;
Panić, M., vice-president of the Organizational Board;
Voza, D., vice-president of the Organizational Board;
Veličković, M., member of the Organizational Board;
Arsić, S., member of the Organizational Board;
Gajić, M., member of the Organizational Board;
Jevtić, A., member of the Organizational Board;
Radić, A., member of the Organizational Board;
Vasković, S., member of the Organizational Board.

Editorial board:

Marija Panić, Editor in Chief;
Aleksandra Fedajev, Co-Editor;
Danijela Voza, Co-Editor;
Milica Veličković, Technical Editor;
Sanela Arsić, Technical Editor;
Sandra Vasković, Technical Co-Editor;
Adrijana Jevtić, Technical Co-Editor;
Aleksandra Radić, Technical Co-Editor.

Reviewers:

Živan Živković, University of Belgrade, Technical faculty in Bor;
Marija Panić, University of Belgrade, Technical Faculty in Bor;
Predrag Đorđević, University of Belgrade, Technical Faculty in Bor;
Aćimović, S., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Economics, Belgrade, Serbia;
Bazen, J., Saxion University of Applied Sciences in Enschede, The Netherlands;
Beh, L.S., University of Malaya, Faculty of Economics and Administration, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia;
Carrassi, M., University of Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy;
Chelishvili, A., Business and Technology University, Tbilisi, Georgia;
Duysters, G., Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands;
Filipović, J., University in Belgrade, Faculty of Organizational Science, Belgrade, Serbia;
Gao, S., Edinburgh Napier University, Edinburgh, United Kingdom;
Grošelj, P., University of Ljubljana, Biotechnical faculty, Ljubljana, Slovenia;
Gupte, J., Goa Institute of Management, Poriem, Sattari, Goa, India;
Halis, M., Bolu Abant İzzet Baysal University, Faculty of Communications, Bolu, Turkey;
Huth, M., Fulda University of Applied Sciences, Fulda, Germany;
Kangas, Y., University of Eastern Finland, Joensuu, Kuopio, Eastern Finland, Finland;
Kume, V., University of Tirana, Faculty of Economics, Albania;
Michelberger, P., Obuda University, Budapest, Hungary;
Mitić, P., Institute of Economic Science, Belgrade, Serbia;
Mumford, M. D., University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma, USA;
Mura, L., University of Ss. Cyril and Methodius, Trnava, Slovakia;

Nikolić, Đ., University of Belgrade, Technical Faculty in Bor, Serbia;
Nordal, A., Municipal Undertaking for Educational Buildings and Property, Oslo, Norway;
Pang, J., Shanxi University, School of Computer and Information Technology, Taiyuan, Shanxi, China;
Parnell, J. A., University of North Carolina Pembroke, School of Business, Pembroke, USA;
Pavlov, D., “Angel Kanchev” University of Ruse, Bulgaria;
Prasad, R., Banaras Hindu University, Institute of Management Studies, India;
Radosavljević, S., Kolubara coal basin, Lazarevac, Serbia;
Radulescu, M., University of Pitesti, Faculty of Economics, Pitesti, Romania;
Remeikiene, R., Mykolas Romeris University, Vilnius, Lithuania;
Spasojević Brkić, V., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Belgrade, Serbia;
Stefanović, D., University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technical Sciences, Novi Sad, Serbia;
Stevic, Ž., University of East Sarajevo, Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering, Doboj, Bosnia and Herzegovina;
Szarucki, M., Cracow University of Economics, Cracow, Poland;
Ingram, T., University of Economics in Katowice, Katowice, Poland;
Usman, B., University of Bengkulu, Faculty of Economics and Business, Bengkulu, Indonesia.

Scientific Council:

Živan Živković, University of Belgrade, Technical faculty in Bor;
Marija Panić, University of Belgrade, Technical Faculty in Bor;
Predrag Đorđević, University of Belgrade, Technical Faculty in Bor;
Aćimović, S., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Economics, Belgrade, Serbia;
Bazen, J., Saxion University of Applied Sciences in Enschede, The Netherlands;
Beh, L.S., University of Malaya, Faculty of Economics and Administration, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia;
Carrassi, M., University of Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy;
Chelishvili, A., Business and Technology University, Tbilisi, Georgia;
Duysters, G., Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands;
Filipović, J., University in Belgrade, Faculty of Organizational Science, Belgrade, Serbia;
Gao, S., Edinburgh Napier University, Edinburgh, United Kingdom;
Grošelj, P., University of Ljubljana, Biotechnical faculty, Ljubljana, Slovenia;
Gupte, J., Goa Institute of Management, Poriem, Sattari, Goa, India;
Halis, M., Bolu Abant İzzet Baysal University, Faculty of Communications, Bolu, Turkey;
Huth, M., Fulda University of Applied Sciences, Fulda, Germany;
Kangas, Y., University of Eastern Finland, Joensuu, Kuopio, Eastern Finland, Finland;
Kume, V., University of Tirana, Faculty of Economics, Albania;
Michelberger, P., Obuda University, Budapest, Hungary;
Mitić, P., Institute of Economic Science, Belgrade, Serbia,
Mumford, M. D., University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma, USA;
Mura, L., University of Ss. Cyril and Methodius, Trnava, Slovakia;
Nikolić, Đ., University of Belgrade, Technical Faculty in Bor, Serbia;
Nordal, A., Municipal Undertaking for Educational Buildings and Property, Oslo, Norway;
Pang, J., Shanxi University, School of Computer and Information Technology, Taiyuan, Shanxi, China;
Parnell, J. A., University of North Carolina Pembroke, School of Business, Pembroke, USA;
Pavlov, D., “Angel Kanchev” University of Ruse, Bulgaria;

Prasad, R., Banaras Hindu University, Institute of Management Studies, India;
Radosavljević, S., Kolubara coal basin, Lazarevac, Serbia;
Radulescu, M., University of Pitești, Faculty of Economics, Pitești, Romania;
Remeikiene, R., Mykolas Romeris University, Vilnius, Lithuania;
Spasojević Brkić, V., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Belgrade, Serbia;
Stefanović, D., University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technical Sciences, Novi Sad, Serbia;
Stevic, Ž., University of East Sarajevo, Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering, Doboj, Bosnia and Herzegovina;
Szarucki, M., Cracow University of Economics, Cracow, Poland;
Ingram, T., University of Economics in Katowice, Katowice, Poland;
Usman, B., University of Bengkulu, Faculty of Economics and Business, Bengkulu, Indonesia.

All full papers and abstracts submitted to the International May Conference on Strategic Management (IMCSM24) are subject to a peer reviewing process, using subject specialists selected because of their expert knowledge in the specific areas.

In front of the publisher: Prof. dr Dejan Tanikić*, Dean of Technical Faculty in Bor

*dtanikic@tfbor.bg.ac.rs

Editor-in-Chief: Prof. dr Marija Panić**, Technical Faculty in Bor

**mpanic@tfbor.bg.ac.rs

The International May Conference on Strategic Management (IMCSM24) was held in a hybrid format (in person and online) on May 31, 2024, at Bor Lake, Serbia.

E-Book of Proceedings available at: <https://mksm.tfbor.bg.ac.rs/>

CIP - Каталогizacija u publikaciji
Narodna biblioteka Srbije, Beograd

005.51(082)(0.034.2)

INTERNATIONAL May Conference on Strategic Management (20 ; 2024 ; Bor)

[XX] International May Conference on Strategic Management – IMCSM24, May 31, 2024, Bor [Elektronski izvor] / [editor in chief Marija Panić]. - Bor : University of Belgrade, Technical Faculty, Engineering Management Department, 2024 (Bor : Tercija). - 1 USB fleš memorija ; 1 x 2 x 6 cm. - (IMCSM Proceedings, ISSN 2620-0597 ; vol. 20, issue (1) (2024))

Sistemski zahtevi: Nisu navedeni. - Nasl. sa naslovne strane dokumenta. - Tiraž 100. - Bibliografija uz svaki rad.

ISBN 978-86-6305-150-8

a) Стратешки менаџмент -- Зборници

COBISS.SR-ID 145959177



**Conference is financially supported by the Ministry of Science,
Technological development and Innovation of the Republic of
Serbia**

**Konferencija je finansijski podržana od strane Ministarstva
nauke, tehnološkog razvoja i inovacija Republike Srbije**

Gold Donor



塞尔维亚紫金矿业
SERBIA ZIJIN MINING



The special section of IMCSM24 will be organized within the framework of the project SmartMiner, on a topic “**Support Systems for Smart, Ergonomic and Sustainable Mining Machinery Workplaces**”. This project is funded by the Science Fund of the Republic of Serbia as a part of the Green program of cooperation between science and industry (Grant No. 5151).

**INTERNATIONAL MAY CONFERENCE ON
STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT**

SADRŽAJ

Plenary section

DIVERGING PATHS TO ORGANIZATIONAL RESILIENCE: THE ROLE OF DYNAMIC MANAGERIAL CAPABILITIES, BENEVOLENT LEADERSHIP, ORGANIZATIONAL UNLEARNING AND PARADOXICAL THINKING

Tomasz Ingram 1

ICT PENETRATION AND STOCK MARKET DEVELOPMENT: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM EMERGING MARKET ECONOMIES

Yilmaz Bayar 15

EMPLOYEES' WORK-LIFE BALANCE IN THE CONTEMPORARY BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT IN SERBIA

Nemanja Berber, Agneš Slavić, Dimitrije Gašić 24

ENTERPRISE RISK MANAGEMENT USING THE FMEA-AHP APPROACH

Marija Panić 38

Smart miner section

SMART, ERGONOMIC AND SUSTAINABLE MINING MACHINERY WORKPLACES: AN OVERVIEW OF THE SMARTMINER PROJECT

Vesna Spasojević Brkić, Ivan Mihajlović, Đorđe Nikolić, Aleksandar Brkić 49

DEVELOPING A NOVEL QUANTITATIVE APPROACH TO EVALUATE THE ORGANIZATIONAL FACTORS AFFECTING OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY IN THE MINING INDUSTRY

Anđelka Stojanović, Isidora Milošević, Đorđe Nikolić 60

RELIABILITY-BASED RISK ASSESSMENT OF AUXILIARY MACHINERY IN OPEN-PIT MINES: A BACKHOE LOADER CASE STUDY

Vesna Spasojević Brkić, Nemanja Janev, Nikola Petrović, Uglješa Bugarić 69

SELECTION OF AN ALGORITHM FOR THE PREDICTION OF STOPPAGES AND/OR FAILURE OF EXCAVATION UNITS USING SUPERVISED MACHINE LEARNING

Mirjana Misita, Vesna Spasojević Brkić, Ivan Mihajlović,
Aleksandar Brkić, Martina Perišić, Neda Papić, Nemanja
Janev 79

THE IMPACT OF SAFETY FACTORS ON THE SAFETY SUSTAINABILITY OF OPERATORS IN MINING COMPANIES: A MANAGER'S PERSPECTIVE

Isidora Milošević, Anđelka Stojanović, Que Xiaoju, Đorđe Nikolić, Sanela Arsić 92

HARD HAT DETECTION FOR SAFETY PURPOSES BY USING YOLOV9

Natalija Perišić, Radiša Jovanović 101

A HYBRID RELIABILITY - FMEA METHODOLOGY IN RISK ASSESSMENT OF A BELT CONVEYOR SYSTEM

Hetham Omer Mohamed Jama, Vesna Spasojević Brkić, Nikola Petrović, Uglješa Bugarić, Martina Perišić 111

A RISK EVALUATION OF BULLDOZER DOWNTIMES AND ITS ECONOMIC JUSTIFICATION IN OPEN-PIT MINES

Uglješa Bugarić, Vesna Spasojević Brkić,
Nikola Petrović, Nemanja Janev, Martina Perišić 122

AN OVERVIEW ON OPTIMAL MODELING OF HYBRID RENEWABLE ENERGY SYSTEMS FOR ENHANCING ENERGY MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

Branislav Petrović, Bogdan Ristić, Miloš Banjac, Ivan Božić 132

INTEGRATED PROCESS PLANNING AND SCHEDULING OF PRODUCTION SYSTEMS BASED ON MOUNTAIN GAZELLE OPTIMIZER

Aleksandar Jokić, Milica Petrović, Zoran Miljković 142

IMCSM2024

THE INTEREST OF STUDENTS AND GRADUATES IN FAMILY BUSINESSES

Silvia Puiu 152

EXAMINATION OF THE TAM MODEL EXTERNAL FACTORS' INFLUENCE ON THE PERCEIVED EASE OF USE – THE CASE OF SAP ERP SYSTEM

Aleksandra Radić, Sanela Arsić, Đorđe Nikolić 158

THE IMPACT OF KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT ON CORPORATE SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT (CSD): THE MEDIATING ROLE OF GREEN INNOVATION

Sanela Golubović Corcione, Milica Veličković, Aleksandra Fedajev 167

THE ROLE OF STANDARDISATION IN THE ADOPTION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN THE WORKPLACE

Ana Kićanović 180

THE RESILIENCE OF ITALIAN AND POLISH FAMILY ORGANIZATIONS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY USING FSQCA

Barbara D'Aquino, Mario Carrassi, Tomasz Ingram 189

GOLD PRICE PREDICTION BASED ON THE MONTE CARLO METHOD

Adrijana Jevtić, Dejan Riznić, Miša Tomić 201

DYNAMIC EFFECT OF ENTREPRENEURIAL UNIVERSITY ENVIRONMENT ON STUDENT'S ENTREPRENEURIAL SELF-EFFICACY,

Zagorka Mitaseva, Ana Tomovska - Misoska 210

THE GRANGER CAUSALITY OF DIGITAL CONNECTIVITY AND TRADE GLOBALIZATION IN THE HEALTH CRISIS

Ivana Petkovski, Aleksandra Fedajev, Isidora Milošević 221

DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF MEASUREMENT INSTRUMENT FOR GREEN KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT

Aleksandra Radić, Ivan Jovanović, Nenad Milijić 232

CAREER PREFERENCES FOR UNIVERSITY STUDENTS FROM RUSSIA AND BULGARIA

Julia Murzina, Albert Brand, Daniel Pavlov, Svetlana Shvab 242

SUSTAINABILITY AND RESILIENCE FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF THE LABOUR MARKET OF CENTRAL AND EAST EUROPEAN (CEE) MEMBER STATES	
Alina Haller	249
POST-HOC ANALYSIS OF DIGITAL DEVELOPMENT IN PLS-SEM	
Ivana Petkovski, Isidora Milošević	260
POTENTIAL ASPECTS OF CLOUD-BASED RISKS AND PROTECTION STRATEGIES	
Zoltán Nyikes, Norbert Cseh, László Tóth, Tünde Anna Kovács	271
ENHANCING PRODUCTION PLANNING EFFICIENCY WITH DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT USING BPM TOOLS - THE BONITA APPROACH	
Ana Đurić, Aleksandra Hornjak, Darko Stefanović, Dušanka Dakić	282
EVALUATION OF CIRCULAR ECONOMY INDICATORS IN EU COUNTRIES	
Rita Remeikienė, Justina Bankauskienė, Ligita Gasparėnienė	292
THE IMPACT OF EXECUTIVE COACHING ON THE INNOVATION PERFORMANCE OF EMPLOYEES	
Biljana Galovska, Ivan Gjorgjievski	301
CROSS FUNCTIONAL TEAMS: A KEY FOR IMPROVING SYSTEMS THINKING IN AN ORGANIZATION	
Ivan Gjorgjievski, Biljana Galovska, Filip Grujoski	310
THE DEVELOPMENT OF E-CRIMES IN THE DIGITAL ECONOMY: CAUSES AND CONSEQUENCES	
Rita Remeikienė, Andrius Trajanaukas, Ligita Gasparėnienė	318
DESIGN OF A DIGITAL MODEL FOR RESERVE ESTIMATION AT THE BLED EL HADBA PHOSPHATE DEPOSIT- BIRELATER, TEBESSA	
Aichouri Imen, Nettour Djamel, Chaib Rachid, Kerboua Kelthoum	327
SELECTION OF CUTTING INSERT FOR LONGITUDINAL TURNING OF UNALLOYED STEEL USING ROBUST DECISION MAKING	
Miloš Madić, Milan Trifunović, Goran Petrović	339
LEVERAGING KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT FOR ADVANCING INNOVATIVE THINKING IN THE BANKING SECTOR: INSIGHTS FROM SOUTH AFRICA	
Vusumzi M. Msuthwana	349
CONSTRUCTION PRODUCTIVITY, LEAN PROCESSES AND SUSTAINABILITY	
Magdalena Krstanoski	361
TOWARDS A POLICY OF CONTINUOUS, SUSTAINABLE IMPROVEMENT IN HOSPITAL WASTE MANAGEMENT: A CASE STUDY	
Rania Berrachedi, Rachid Chaib, Hafida Kahoul, Djamel Nettour	370
OBSTACLES OF WOMEN MANAGERS IN THEIR CAREERS, THE GLASS CEILING PHENOMENON	
Sreten Tešanović, Sanja Tešanović, Sara Tešanović	379
SOLUTIONS FOR IMPROVING THE INTERACTION BETWEEN INVESTMENT AND PERSONAL DATA SECURITY	
Klaudija Charlapavičiūtė	392

OPERATIONAL RISK OF ACCOUNTING DIGITALIZATION	
Ján Vlčko, Jitka Meluchová	401
ECONOMICS AND ENVIRONMENTAL-HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT OF THE GOLD MINING INDUSTRY IN NIGERIA	
Olugboyega Oyeranti	408
WORKFORCE WELLBEING MANAGEMENT LEVERAGING SEMANTIC KNOWLEDGE GRAPH	
Milorad Tosić, Nenad Petrović, Olivera Tosić	418
YOUNG CONSUMERS' INSIGHTS ON BRAND ASSOCIATION, BRAND AWARENESS, PERCEIVED QUALITY, BRAND IMAGE, BRAND LOYALTY AND BRAND EQUITY	
Thiruchelvi Arunachalam, Mayurigha Sivakumar, Ashvadhara Raveendran	428
IMPACT OF STRATEGIC HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ON MEDIATING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ENTREPRENEURIAL VENTURES AND SUSTAINABLE GROWTH	
Aliyu Mohammed, Shanmugam Sundararajan, Dr.Subramani Senthil Kumar, Dr.Sanjoy Kumar Pal.....	437
ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPACTS OF EXPERIENCE MARKETING ON THE BRAND IMAGE OF TEXTILE COMPANIES IN LATVIA	
Vita Zarina, Velga Vevere, Inga Shina, Blesson Baby	453
PREDICTORS OF THE LAYOFFS IN THE SYSTEM OF PROVISION OF THE PERSONNEL STABILITY	
Olena Vartanova	463
CUSTOMER RELATIONSHIP MANAGEMENT IN THE BANKING SECTOR	
Tanja Džinić, Aleksandra Perić, Slavica Mitrović Veljković, Stevan Milisavljević, Đorđe Čelić.....	471
NETWORK OPERATION CENTER FOLLOWING PROCEDURES	
Jovan Zlatanović, Ivana Milosević, Mirko Milosević	481



THE GRANGER CAUSALITY OF DIGITAL CONNECTIVITY AND TRADE GLOBALIZATION IN THE HEALTH CRISIS

Ivana Petkovski^{1*}, Aleksandra Fedajev², Isidora Milošević²

¹ *Mathematical Institute of the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Belgrade, Serbia*

² *University of Belgrade, Technical faculty in Bor, Serbia*

Abstract: The widespread adoption of digital technology in the last decade has caused global changes, leading to the belief that technology is altering the global connectivity of economies. This is especially emphasized in light of the global health crisis in 2020. To efficiently monitor this process, it is vital to assess the anticipatory potential of this type of digital connectivity and the growth of globalization in trade. An effective analysis of this issue necessitates looking into the causative relationship between digital technology connections and trade globalization. This is performed by applying the statistical approach of Granger causality to a data set consisting of 35 European countries from 2010 to 2022. A Granger causality study was performed using the lag value estimated based on the Akaike information criterion, which equals 2. The findings suggested that there is a unidirectional causal relationship between active mobile-broadband subscriptions and imports, with the former influencing the latter. However, this causal relationship fails to be valid in the opposite direction. The examination revealed a reciprocal relationship between fixed-telephone subscriptions and exports, as well as a reciprocal relationship between fixed-telephone subscriptions and imports. The results reveal the bidirectional value of the number of fixed telephone subscriptions as a measure of digital connectivity.

Keywords: digital technology, import, export, crisis, causality.

1. INTRODUCTION

The globalization boom began in the 1980s, with developing countries opening to Western markets and expanding foreign direct investment (FDI) (Ari, 2020). Globalization is based on global trade openness and significant financial integration, which is why highly globalized economies are more vulnerable to global market changes than closed countries (Candelon et al., 2020).

* Corresponding author: ivana993@turing.mi.sanu.ac.rs

Ivana Petkovski, ORCID: 0000-0001-7692-8436
Aleksandra Fedajev, ORCID: 0000-0002-6974-6631
Isidora Milošević, ORCID: 0000-0001-5539-8253

Digital technology, as an important factor in global economic integration, can be considered the driver of globalization development in the 21st century (Baldwin, 2018). Its role in developing society and the economy during the previous decade was critical. This primarily refers to the importance of digital technology, which was highlighted during the period of the global health crisis that began in Europe in 2020 and whose economic consequences are still present and manifest some common features like uncertainty, economic recession, and monetary and fiscal responses (Jebabli et al., 2021).

One of the visible social and economic consequences of the world health crisis was the stagnation of the flow of people, goods, and services beyond national borders. This stagnation resulted in a serious economic slowdown due to the collapse of economic activities, slowed globalization, and reduced the level of international trade activities (Galindo-Martín et al., 2021). Various prohibitions and rules individually adopted by the countries further complicated trade in goods (Liu et al., 2021). The pandemic has imposed restrictions on global trade and the global value chain, particularly for countries that rely on exports (Naseer et al., 2023). Economies have suffered serious fluctuations on the supply and demand sides, and those kinds of market irregularities threaten the speed of recovery after the crisis (Naseer et al., 2023).

Authors Paul et al. (2021) identified the major challenges in the supply chain that can occur during a pandemic, and among the top five challenges they cite are a lack of either financial or other resources, the global recession, a demand decline, a reduction in sourcing options; and an increase in the price of raw materials. Authors Eichengreen et al. (2021) present recent comprehensive research about previous crises and COVID-19, providing a good review of past crisis experiences and attempting to illustrate it with the example of the current crisis. Their study's empirical evidence recalls past crises' challenges and recovery patterns from the 1950s until the current pandemic. However, the main difference between COVID-19 and previous crises is that financial crises start in the financial sector and spread to other sectors. In contrast, health crises emerge in the health sector, hitting other sectors like transport and industry and consequently destroying the financial sector (Jebabli et al., 2021).

Considering the effect of the global health crisis on the scale of worldwide trade as well as the demonstrated value of digital technology during that period, it is vital to investigate the source of these relationships. This study's value lies in exploring the causal relationship between digitalization and globalization, viewed as a share of countries' trade in gross domestic product (GDP). This analysis will enhance the accuracy in predicting the evolution of these phenomena, especially in the dynamic context of the health crisis. The lack of literature on the causal relationship between these two variables throughout the period, including the years before and after the health crisis, motivates this investigation. We applied the Granger-Causality analysis in this research to investigate the causal relationship between digitalization and globalization in trade. The paper's findings should support policymakers, managers, and trade professionals in making rational choices regarding formulating and implementing strategies that would accelerate economic recovery and reinforce global trade.

The paper has five sections. The first section offers an introduction, while the second section discusses the findings from recent literature. The third section provides an overview of secondary data used for analysis and describes the approach that was applied. The fourth section covers the essential study findings and their further evaluation. The final section represents the conclusion. This section summarizes the results, describes the limitations of the research, and offers a brief summary of potential future studies.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The study by Skare and Soriano (2021) demonstrates the complex dynamics of the relationship between the adoption of digital technology and globalization. Through Granger causality analysis, it is proven that the growth of globalization directly influences the rising use and acceptance of digital technology, which in turn impacts the further advancement of globalization. Empirical research evaluating digitalization's effects on participation in international distribution chains due to globalization has validated previous results (Gopalan et al., 2022). The research confirms that website accessibility and high-speed Internet availability stimulate business involvement in global supply chains (Gopalan et al., 2022). Applying digital technologies, such as the Internet, helps export growth while minimizing expenditures related to transactions and interactions with partners abroad (Visser, 2019).

Early research performed on panel data from 151 countries in the period from 1990 to 2006 suggests that the use of the Internet as a proxy for digitalization has effects on foreign service trade volume and, therefore promotes the expansion of globalization (Choi, 2010). According to Kere and Zongo (2023), the increasing adoption of ICT in sub-Saharan Africa causes modifications in the import and export rates of goods and services. The researchers discuss that the digitalization of information and processes in the international customs system, along with the development of digital infrastructure through greater penetration of optical fibre, will improve trade turnover while cutting the costs related to foreign trade transactions (Kere & Zongo, 2023). Bunje et al. (2022) study tackles the question of digital technology use in the economy and its effects on trade, which is expressed as the ratio of imports and exports as a share of gross domestic product (GDP). The study confirms that digital technology significantly impacts international trade in both long-term and short-term economic contexts (Bunje et al., 2022). The authors suggest prioritizing the construction of broadband infrastructure and the creation of digital platforms for e-trade (Bunje et al., 2022). Using machine learning methods on a sample of 30 Chinese provinces from 2000 to 2018, another study proved internet use's positive impact on the value of export activities (Shetewy et al., 2022). Another study, which appeared in 2023, examines the effect of internet connectivity on international trade from the perspective of the gravity model (Herman & Oliver, 2023). This research confirms the presence of a correlation between internet usage and international trade, indicating that the Internet supports foreign trade activities (Herman & Oliver, 2023).

A literature review provides evidence about the reverse causality between trade globalization and the adoption of digital technology. In a causal analysis conducted by Grossman & Helpman (1995), the bidirectional causality between digital technology and international trade has been verified. An early study conducted in 2011 confirms the causality between export activities and technology adoption (Bustos, 2011). However, the results of the latest research studies show the bidirectional relationship between digital technology broadband and connectivity and trade openness has been confirmed in a sample of G20 economies (Arvin et al., 2021). The study verifies a bidirectional causal relationship between the number of mobile phone subscriptions, individuals using the Internet, secure Internet servers, fixed broadband subscriptions, and the constructed ICT index as proxies for digital development and, on the other side, trade openness (Arvin et al., 2021). In addition, the study confirms a unidirectional causal relationship between trade openness and the number of fixed telephone subscriptions (Arvin et al., 2021). The authors suggest that promoting the use of digital platforms in foreign trade business can foster transparent and fair trade (Arvin et al., 2021). Research examining the

reciprocal relationship suggests that engaging in a worldwide supply chain affects the integration of digital technologies (Delera et al., 2022). Another empirical study presented in 2022 discusses the Granger causality test results between trade openness, ICT index, and economic growth on a sample of 14 industrial sectors in Tunisia acquired from 1995 to 2018 (Dahmani et al., 2022). The research provides evidence of the bidirectional relationship between the ICT index and trade openness. The authors suggest developing digital infrastructure and sharpening digital skills (Dahmani et al., 2022).

When discussing the effects of the global health crisis, economies that base their development on demand-led growth are the most vulnerable during a crisis, and this state results in an economic recession, which is followed by additional financial constraints such as borrowing restrictions and decreasing purchasing power (Lacerda, 2019). After the global health crisis, the worldwide supply chain can be improved through the expansion of digital technology adoption and trade partnerships, especially between capital-intensive industrialized economies (Liu et al., 2024). Peng et al. (2024) studied the causal relationship between the global health crisis and trade in the form of equity. The authors propose a set of fiscal and economic measures to stabilize the market, including reducing the tax burden, implementing social security programs, and cutting the interest rate on loans (Peng et al., 2024).

3. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

In order to test the causal relationship between the type of digital connectivity and trade globalization, the research study included annual panel data for 35 European countries that were available in the selected data repository. The full list of countries, including EU and non-EU member states, is documented in Table 1. The period covered in the research is 2010 to 2022.

Table 1. List of countries

Austria	Denmark	Iceland	Montenegro	Serbia
Belarus	Estonia	Ireland	Netherlands	Slovak Republic
Belgium	Finland	Italy	North Macedonia	Slovenia
Bulgaria	France	Latvia	Norway	Spain
Croatia	Germany	Lithuania	Poland	Sweden
Cyprus	Greece	Luxembourg	Portugal	Switzerland
Czech Republic	Hungary	Malta	Romania	United Kingdom

Regarding the set research objective, the data were distributed into two groups that illustrate the types of digitalization and trade globalization. The data gathered for the first four variables represents the type of digitalization, and the following two variables were selected for the presentation of trade globalization. The list of variables is reported in Table 2, with appropriate abbreviations and sources.

Table 2. Variable information.

Group	Variable	Abbreviation	Source
Digital connectivity	Active mobile-broadband subscriptions per 100 inhabitants	<i>AMBS</i>	World Bank open data (2023)
	Fixed broadband subscriptions per 100 inhabitants	<i>FBS</i>	
	Mobile-cellular subscriptions per 100 inhabitants	<i>MCS</i>	
	Fixed-telephone subscriptions per 100 inhabitants	<i>FTS</i>	
Trade globalization	Import of goods and services as % of GDP	<i>IMP</i>	
	Export of goods and services as % of GDP	<i>EXP</i>	

The research's analytical component contains the application of econometric analysis using the Granger causality test. Author Clive Granger first described the theoretical broadness of the Granger causality analysis and introduced the technique (Granger, 1969). The Granger causality analysis method analyzes the causal relationship between variables in time series data (Shojaie & Fox, 2022). This analysis suggests that if the past value of the first variable provides a better prediction of the second variable than the past values of the second variable, it is Granger-caused (Granger, 1969). The nature of this relationship might be either unidirectional or bidirectional, depending on the causal directions between the variables. If the values of the first variable are used in predicting the values of the second variable, but there is no realization of reverse causality, then it can be described as a unidirectional causal relationship (Granger, 1969). If a causal relationship can be established between two variables in both directions, then the relationship is considered to be bidirectional causal (Granger, 1969).

When conducting a time series analysis, it is crucial to ascertain the presence of a trend in the data by assessing the stationarity of the data (Shojaie & Fox, 2022). The unit root test assesses the stationarity of panel data (Kónya, 2004). Several tests are available for measuring the unit root, including the Im, et al., (2003), test the Fisher ADF test (Dickey & Fuller, 1979), and the Fisher PP test (Phillips & Perron, 1988). The mathematical calculation of stationarity is performed for data at the level as well as for the first and second differences. Within the test structure, it is possible to include intercept values as well as intercepts with a trend. The lag length is selected according to the available information criteria when doing the unit root test. Practitioners commonly use the following criteria: (1) the Akaike Information Criterion; (2) Schwarz Information Criterion; and (3) the Hannan-Quinn Criterion (Bierens, 2004). The null hypothesis for testing stationarity suggests that variable X exhibits the presence of a unit root (Kwiatkowski et al., 1992).

Differentiation is performed if the null hypothesis is rejected (Kónya, 2004). The following criteria guide the selection of the lag length value before conducting the Granger causality test (Bierens, 2004; Clarke & Mirza, 2006; Hatemi-J & Hacker, 2009):

1. *LR*: The sequential modified LR test statistic is used at a 5% significance level for each test.
2. *FPE*: Final prediction error is a measure used for prediction accuracy.
3. *AIC*: The Akaike information criterion is a statistical measure used for model selection.
4. *SC*: The Schwarz information criterion is another statistical measure used for model selection.
5. *HQ*: The Hannan-Quinn information criterion is another statistical measure used for model selection.

The slope with the lowest value, given by most criteria, is selected. Afterwards, the data points are arranged to undergo Granger analysis. The null hypothesis being examined can be presented in the following form (Granger, 1969):

H₀: Previous values of variable X do not offer any additional insight in forecasting future values of variable Y than the past values of Y .

The decision involving causality is made based on the findings of the evaluated assumptions.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The descriptive statistical measures presented in Table 3 provide insight into the characteristics of the data set. Spanning a total series of 455 observations, it becomes evident from the minimum and maximum values that substantial disparities exist in the attained values

across the observed countries. This variability is particularly pronounced in the variable denoting active mobile broadband subscriptions, wherein data dispersion around the mean is most pronounced, reflecting the high variance.

Table 3. Descriptive statistics.

Variable	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Variance
<i>AMBS</i>	8.00	210.00	80.61	35.1392	1234.76
<i>FBS</i>	10.00	50.00	30.96	8.2011	67.26
<i>MCS</i>	87.00	203.00	123.33	16.5607	274.26
<i>FTS</i>	3.00	96.00	34.51	15.5603	242.12
<i>IMP</i>	26.00	180.00	61.65	29.4363	866.49
<i>EXP</i>	22.00	213.00	64.04	34.8347	1213.46
Valid N = 455					

The basic step in analyzing the times series is related to verifying the stationarity of the data set. The stationarity of the data was checked using the panel unit root analysis based on the test developed by Im et al. (2003). This type of test was selected because it considers the presence of heterogeneity among the data reflected in observing different countries (Antonietti & Franco, 2021). The latency length was assumed to be 2 when conducting this test, as suggested by the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). This is also the typical value applied when analyzing annual data, as in this example. The results of the unit root test are shown in Table 4.

The stationarity check led to the conclusion that all variables, except for active mobile broadband subscriptions (*AMBS*), lack stationarity. The authors applied the mathematical calculation of the first difference between the values of the provided variables to address this problem and transform all non-stationary variables into stationary ones. The outcomes presented in Table 4 illustrate the Im, Pesaran, and Shin tests after the first difference calculation. Before conducting the Granger causality test, standard vector autoregression estimates (VAR) were used to examine the value of the lag length based on six endogenous variables. Table 5 presents the results of the VAR analysis, encompassing all six information criteria used to measure the lag length.

Table 4. Im, Pesaran and Shin unit root test.

Variable	Level		1st difference	
	Intercept	Intercept and trend	Intercept	Intercept and trend
<i>AMBS</i>	0.0000	0.0055	0.0000	0.0000
<i>FBS</i>	0.9045	0.8897	0.0000	0.0000
<i>MCS</i>	0.2985	0.3815	0.0000	0.0000
<i>FTS</i>	0.2106	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
<i>IMP</i>	0.9936	0.0035	0.0000	0.0000
<i>EXP</i>	0.8068	0.0014	0.0000	0.0002

Table 5. VAR Lag order selection criteria.

Lag	LogL	LR	FPE	AIC	SC	HQ
0	-6284.323	NA	164000000	35.94471	36.01084	35.97103
1	-5768.448	1011.116	10589035	33.20256	33.66551*	33.38683
2	-5699.994	131.8224*	8798154.*	33.01711*	33.87688	33.35933*

* indicates lag order selected by the criterion

LR: sequential modified LR test statistic; FPE: Final prediction error; AIC: Akaike information criterion; SC: Schwarz information criterion; HQ: Hannan-Quinn information criterion.

In most cases, the selected value of the length of the lag is 2, which is the adopted value for further pairwise Granger causality analysis, and the analysis outcome is presented in Table 6.

Table 6. Pairwise Granger Causality Tests, lags: 2.

Null Hypothesis:	Observations	F-Statistic	Probability (<i>p</i> -value)
ΔIMP does not Granger Cause $AMBS$	350	1.41113	0.2453
$AMBS$ does not Granger Cause ΔIMP		4.58984	0.0108
ΔEXP does not Granger Cause $AMBS$	350	0.27414	0.7604
$AMBS$ does not Granger Cause ΔEXP		2.05075	0.1302
ΔIMP does not Granger Cause ΔFBS	350	0.89242	0.4106
ΔFBS does not Granger Cause ΔIMP		0.78035	0.4591
ΔEXP does not Granger Cause ΔFBS	350	0.78664	0.4562
ΔFBS does not Granger Cause ΔEXP		1.65447	0.1927
ΔIMP does not Granger Cause ΔMCS	350	0.92681	0.3968
ΔMCS does not Granger Cause ΔIMP		1.25544	0.2862
ΔEXP does not Granger Cause ΔMCS	350	1.99499	0.1376
ΔMCS does not Granger Cause ΔEXP		0.11339	0.8928
ΔIMP does not Granger Cause ΔFTS	350	5.08357	0.0067
ΔFTS does not Granger Cause ΔIMP		5.44011	0.0047
ΔEXP does not Granger Cause ΔFTS	350	4.67553	0.0099
ΔFTS does not Granger Cause ΔEXP		3.94448	0.0202

Using pairwise Granger causality analysis, researchers found both one-way and two-way links between different aspects of digital connectivity and globalization in trade. A statistically significant unidirectional connection was observed from the variable of active mobile broadband subscribers ($AMBS$) to the import variable (ΔIMP) ($p < 0.05$). Specifically, the number of active mobile broadband customers can serve as an indicator for anticipating fluctuations in imports because any change in the number of mobile users leads to a change in import balance. The inverse relationship of this relationship was not validated, indicating that the statistics on imports do not significantly impact the estimation of the number of active mobile broadband customers. The Granger causality test could not detect any statistically significant causal links between the export variables (ΔEXP) and active mobile broadband subscribers ($AMBS$). No causal relationship was found between fluctuations in imports (ΔIMP) and exports (ΔEXP) in connection with variations in the number of fixed broadband subscribers (ΔFBS) and mobile cellular subscribers (ΔMCS). These findings demonstrate that the data on imports and exports does not impact the number of fixed broadband users or mobile cellular subscribers. As a result, they cannot be utilized to forecast future values in either direction. Conversely, the positive outcomes of the Granger test demonstrated a bidirectional connection between imports (ΔIMP) and fixed telephone subscribers (ΔFTS), as well as between exports and fixed telephone subscribers (ΔFTS), with statistical significance ($p < 0.05$). The results of the study of the Granger causality test suggested that the use of fixed telephones as a form of digitalization has a causal effect on the fluctuations in imports and exports of the countries under investigation and vice-versa. Through that connection, the relationship reflects control and management of the flow of goods and services in international trade. The bidirectional causality results align with the previous research results (Arvin et al., 2021; Delera et al., 2022; Dahmani et al., 2022). By utilizing these indicators, it is possible to develop more accurate predictive models, as variations in the number of fixed telephones might forecast changes in the trade balance and vice-versa. The results have profound implications for decision-making

based on information and knowledge, indicating that intervening in one variable can also impact other variables.

5. CONCLUSION

When developing a forecasting model to understand the relationship mechanism, it is essential to identify the causal relationship between the variables. This study provides an understanding of the causality between different types of digital connectivity and globalization in trade. It analyses the research problem by applying the generally accepted Granger causality analysis to a dataset spanning 35 European countries between 2010 and 2022.

The paper's scholarly value is found in examining the relationship between different kinds of digitalization and trade globalization, both before and shortly after the emergence of the 2020 global health crisis. It provides essential knowledge into the utilization of these variables for developing prediction models, considering that international trading witnessed a substantial decline during the crisis period due to the stagnation of cross-border trade. Furthermore, digital technology plays an integral part in supporting the integration of the global population.

In addition to its scientific significance, the research study also holds practical value. Causal analysis has proven that mobile technology accessibility can impact import volume. Therefore, improving digital mobile technology can influence the globalization of trade flows, aligning with strategies for future trade development. The growing popularity of mobile telephony has the potential to enhance the efficiency of international trade by facilitating the exchange of goods and services across different e-commerce platforms.

The relationship between the number of fixed telephony customers and imports and exports demonstrates the importance of population and economic integration in global trade flows. Modernizing and broadening the digital infrastructure for fixed telecommunications will be extremely helpful for managing worldwide trade flows. Adopting such guidelines may strengthen the effectiveness of global trade operations and lower trade expenses.

The study's limitations pertain to the data collection time frame, which could expand with historical data availability. Furthermore, the limitation is evident in the selection of variables that depict globalization in trade. Therefore, additional research might incorporate a broader range of these indicators. The final identified limitation is the inability to perform a Granger cause analysis on distinct data sets prior to and following the health crisis. This is due to the small data set size after 2020, which consequently delays the completion of the comparative analysis until a future period.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the Serbian Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovation through Mathematical Institute of the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts and the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia, with the funding of the scientific research work at the University of Belgrade, Technical Faculty in Bor, according to the contract with registration number 451-03-65/2024-03/200131.

REFERENCES

- Antonietti, R., & Franco, C. (2021). From FDI to economic complexity: a panel Granger causality analysis. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*, 56, 225-239. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.strueco.2020.11.001>
- Ari, Y.O. (2020). The economic impact of Covid-19: is slowbalisation the new normal? New trends in the world economy in the 21st century: the evaluations on slowbalisation and globalization.
- Arvin, M. B., Pradhan, R. P., & Nair, M. (2021). Uncovering interlinks among ICT connectivity and penetration, trade openness, foreign direct investment, and economic growth: The case of the G-20 countries. *Telematics and Informatics*, 60, 101567. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2021.101567>
- Baldwin, R. (2018). *The great convergence: Information technology and the new globalization*. Harvard University Press.
- Bierens, H. J. (2004). *Information criteria and model selection*. Manuscript, Penn State University.
- Bunje, M. Y., Abendin, S., & Wang, Y. (2022). The multidimensional effect of financial development on trade in Africa: The role of the digital economy. *Telecommunications Policy*, 46(10), 102444. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2022.102444>
- Bustos, P. (2011). Trade liberalization, exports, and technology upgrading: Evidence on the impact of MERCOSUR on Argentinian firms. *American economic review*, 101(1), 304-340. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1257/aer.101.1.304>
- Candelon, B., Carare, A., Hasse, J. B., & Lu, J. (2020). The post-crises output growth effects in a globalized economy. *International Economics*, 161, 139-158.
- Choi, C. (2010). The effect of the Internet on service trade. *Economics Letters*, 109(2), 102-104. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.inteco.2019.11.011>
- Clarke, J. A., & Mirza, S. (2006). A comparison of some common methods for detecting Granger noncausality. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, 76(3), 207-231. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10629360500107741>
- Dahmani, M., Mabrouki, M., & Ben Youssef, A. (2022). ICT, trade openness and economic growth in Tunisia: what is going wrong? *Economic Change and Restructuring* 55(4), 2317–2336. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10644-022-09388-2>
- Delera, M., Pietrobelli, C., Calza, E., & Lavopa, A. (2022). Does value chain participation facilitate the adoption of industry 4.0 technologies in developing countries? *World Development*, 152, 105788. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2021.105788>
- Dickey, D. A., & Fuller, W. A. (1979). Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root. *Journal of the American statistical association*, 74(366a), 427-431. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1979.10482531>
- Eichengreen, B., Park, D., & Shin, K. (2021). The shape of recovery: Implications of past experience for the duration of the COVID-19 recession. *Journal of Macroeconomics*, 103330. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmacro.2021.103330>
- Galindo-Martín, M. Á., Castaño-Martínez, M. S., & Méndez-Picazo, M. T. (2021). Effects of the pandemic crisis on entrepreneurship and sustainable development. *Journal of Business Research*, 137, 345-353. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.08.053>
- Gopalan, S., Reddy, K., & Sasidharan, S. (2022). Does digitalization spur global value chain participation? Firm-level evidence from emerging markets. *Information Economics and Policy*, 59, 100972. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.infoecopol.2022.100972>

- Granger, C. W. (1969). Investigating causal relations by econometric models and cross-spectral methods. *Econometrica: journal of the Econometric Society*, 424-438. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1912791>
- Grossman, G. M., & Helpman, E. (1995). Technology and trade. *Handbook of international economics*, 3, 1279-1337.
- Hatemi-J, A., & S. Hacker, R. (2009). Can the LR test be helpful in choosing the optimal lag order in the VAR model when information criteria suggest different lag orders? *Applied Economics*, 41(9), 1121-1125. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00036840601019273>
- Herman, P. R., & Oliver, S. (2023). Trade, policy, and economic development in the digital economy. *Journal of Development Economics*, 164, 103135. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2023.103135>
- Im, K. S., Pesaran, M. H., & Shin, Y. (2003). Testing for unit roots in heterogeneous panels. *Journal of econometrics*, 115(1), 53-74. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4076\(03\)00092-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4076(03)00092-7)
- Jebabli, I., Kouaissah, N., & Arouri, M. (2021). Volatility Spillovers between Stock and Energy Markets during Crises: A Comparative Assessment between the 2008 Global Financial Crisis and the Covid-19 Pandemic Crisis. *Finance Research Letters*, 102363. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2021.102363>
- Kere, S., & Zongo, A. (2023). Digital technologies and intra-African trade. *International Economics*, 173, 359-383. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.inteco.2023.01.005>
- Kónya, L. (2004). Export-led Growth, Growth-driven Export, Both or None? Granger Causality Analysis on OECD Countries. *Applied Econometrics and International Development*, 4(1), 73-94.
- Kwiatkowski, D., Phillips, P. C., Schmidt, P., & Shin, Y. (1992). Testing the null hypothesis of stationarity against the alternative of a unit root: How sure are we that economic time series have a unit root? *Journal of econometrics*, 54(1-3), 159-178.
- Lacerda, T. C. (2019). Crisis leadership in economic recession: A three-barrier approach to offset external constraints. *Business Horizons*, 62(2), 185-197. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2018.08.005>
- Liu, Y., Cui, Q., Liu, Y., Zhang, J., Zhou, M., Ali, T., ... & Li, X. (2021). Countermeasures against economic crisis from COVID-19 pandemic in China: An analysis of effectiveness and trade-offs. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*, 59, 482-495. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.strueco.2021.09.017>
- Liu, Y., Tang, T., Ah, R., & Luo, L. (2024). Has digital technology promoted the restructuring of global value chains? Evidence from China. *Economic Analysis and Policy*, 81, 269-280. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eap.2023.11.012>
- Naseer, S., Khalid, S., Parveen, S., Abbass, K., Song, H., & Achim, M. V. (2023). COVID-19 outbreak: Impact on global economy. *Frontiers in public health*, 10, 1009393. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1009393>
- Paul, S. K., Chowdhury, P., Moktadir, M. A., & Lau, K. H. (2021). Supply chain recovery challenges in the wake of COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of business research*, 136, 316-329. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.07.056>
- Peng, Y. T., Chang, T., Ranjbar, O., & Xiang, F. (2024). Has the COVID-19 pandemic shock transmitted to the us stock market: Evidence using bootstrap (A) symmetric fourier granger causality test in quantiles. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, 102156.
- Phillips, P. C., & Perron, P. (1988). Testing for a unit root in time series regression. *Biometrika*, 75(2), 335-346. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/biomet/75.2.335>

- Shetewy, N., Shahin, A. I., Omri, A., & Dai, K. (2022). Impact of financial development and internet use on export growth: New evidence from machine learning models. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 61, 101643. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2022.101643>
- Shojaie, A., & Fox, E. B. (2022). Granger causality: A review and recent advances. *Annual Review of Statistics and Its Application*, 9, 289-319. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-statistics-040120-010930>
- Skare, M., & Soriano, D. R. (2021). How globalization is changing digital technology adoption: An international perspective. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 6(4), 222-233. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2021.04.001>
- Visser, R. (2019). The effect of the Internet on the margins of trade. *Information Economics and Policy*, 46, 41-54. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.infoecopol.2018.12.001>
- World Bank open data (2023, February 05). Indicator database. <https://data.worldbank.org/>